Exhibit 17

EPA Region 10, Statement of Basis for Proposed OCS PSD Permit No. R10OCS/PSD-AK-2010-01, Shell Offshore Inc., Frontier Discoverer Drillship, Beaufort Sea Exploration Drilling Program (Feb. 17, 2010)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

STATEMENT OF BASIS FOR PROPOSED OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION PERMIT NO. R100CS/PSD-AK-2010-01

SHELL OFFSHORE INC. FRONTIER DISCOVERER DRILLSHIP BEAUFORT SEA EXPLORATION DRILLING PROGRAM

Date of Proposed Permit: February 17, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	
UNITS AND MEASUREMENTS	9
POLLUTANTS	9
1 INTRODUCTION, PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATIO	N 10
1.1 INTRODUCTION	
1.2 AIR POLLUTION CONTROLS	
1.3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	11
1.4 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	
1.4.1 Opportunity for Public Comment	
1.4.2 Public Hearing and Informational Meetings	
1.4.3 Administrative Record	16
2 REGULATORY APPLICABILITY	17
2.1 THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF	
2.2 PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION	
2.3 APPLICABILITY OF THE NAAQS AND PSD INCREMENTS ON THE OCS	
2.4 APPLICABILITY OF THE CORRESPONDING ONSHORE AREA RULES	22
2.5 APPLICATION OF OCS AND PSD REGULATIONS TO THE DISCOVERER'S	00
Exploration Drilling Operations	
2.5.1 The "OCS Source"2.5.2 Vessels included in the "Potential to Emit" of Shell's Exploration Dr	
Program	-
2.5.3 Owner Requested Limit for Sulfuric Acid Mist	
2.5.4 "Potential to Emit" of the "OCS Source"	
2.6 New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)	
2.7 NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS (NESHA	
2.8 CORRESPONDING ONSHORE AREA RULES	31
2.9 TITLE V	31
3 PROJECT EMISSIONS AND PERMIT TERMS AND CONDITIONS	32
3.1 Overview	32
3.2 GENERALLY APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS	34
3.3 SOURCE-WIDE REQUIREMENTS	
3.4 FRONTIER DISCOVERER DRILLSHIP	
3.4.1 Discoverer Generator Engines (FD-1 to FD-6)	
3.4.2 Discoverer Propulsion Engine (FD-7)	
3.4.3 Discoverer Emergency Generator (FD-8)	
 3.4.4 Mud LineCellar Compressor Engines (FD-9 to FD-11) 3.4.5 Hydraulic Power Units Engines (FD-12 to FD-13) 	
3.4.6 Deck Cranes (FD-14 to FD-15)	
3.4.7 Cementing Units and Logging Winch Engines (FD-16 to FD-20)	
3.4.8 Heat Boilers (FD-21 and 22)	
3.4.9 Waste Incinerator (FD-23)	

	3.4.1 3.4.1 3.4.1 3.4.1 3.4.1 3.5	 Supply Ship Generator Engine (FD-31)	51 52 52 53
	3.5.1		
	3.5.2	0	
	3.6	SUPPLY SHIP/BARGE AND TUG	
	3.7	OIL SPILL RESPONSE FLEET	
	3.8	Associated Growth	60
4	BES	T AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	61
	4.1	BACT APPLICABILITY AND INTRODUCTION	61
	4.2	SO2 BACT ANALYSIS FOR THE DIESEL IC ENGINES, BOILERS AND INCINERATOR	
	4.3	NO _X BACT ANALYSIS	
	4.3.1	$-\chi$ $ -$	
	4.3.2		
	4.3.3 4.3.4	5 ()	
	4.3.4		
	4.3.3 4.4	$PM/PM_{10}/PM_{2.5}$ BACT ANALYSIS	
	4.4.1		
	4.4.2		
		the Logging Unit Winch Engine (FD-19) (all Tier 3 Engines)	80
	4.4.3		
		20)	
	4.4.4		
	4.4.5		
	4.5	CO AND VOC BACT ANALYSIS	
	4.5.1		
	4.5.2	CO and VOC BACT for the Compressor Diesel IC Engines (FD- 9 to FI	
	4.J.Z	11) and the Logging Unit Winch Engine (FD-19) (all Tier 3 Engines)	
	4.5.3		
		and FD-20)	
	4.5.4	CO and VOC BACT for the Diesel-Fired Boilers (FD-21 to FD-22) and	
		the Incinerator (FD 23)	
	4.6	BACT FOR THE DRILLING MUD DE-GASSING OPERATION (FD-32)	
	4.7	BACT FOR THE SUPPLY VESSEL AT DISCOVERER (FD-31)	
	4.8	REFERENCE TEST METHODS	93
5	AIR	QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS	95
	5.1	REQUIRED ANALYSES	95
	5.2	CLASS II PSD INCREMENTS AND NAAQS	
	5.2.1		
	5.2.2	5	
	5.2.3	5 I	98
		Page 3 of 141	

5.2	24	Air Quality Standards	99
5.2		Shell Operating Scenarios	
5.2	-	Air Quality Model	
5.2		Meteorology	
5.2		Scaling Factors	
5.2	-	Ambient Air Definition	
-	2.10	Urban/Rural Area Determination	
5.2	2.11	Building Downwash/Wake Effects	
5.2	2.12	Receptors Location	
5.2	2.13	Volume Source Representation for Vessels	105
5.2	2.14	Source Locations and Source Parameters	
5.2	2.15	Full Impact Analysis	107
5.2	2.16	Other Emission Sources	107
-	2.17	Onshore Meteorological Data	109
-	2.18	Onshore Modeling Receptors	
	2.19	Short-Term Emissions	
-	2.20	Combining Shell and Onshore Source Impacts	
-	2.21	Background Monitoring Data and Preconstruction Monitoring	
-	2.22	Results of Increment Demonstration	
•	2.23	Results of NAAQS Demonstration	
-	2.24		
5.3		ASS I AREAS AND ADDITIONAL IMPACTS ANALYSIS	
5.3 5.3		Class I Area	
5.3 5.3		Additional Impacts Analyses	
5.3 5.3		Class II Area Visibility	
5.3 5.3		Local Visibility Soils and Vegetation	
5.3		Growth	
5.3		Air Quality Related Values Including Visibility	
6 O	THER	REQUIREMENTS	129
6.1	En	DANGERED SPECIES ACT AND ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT OF MAGNUSON-ST	EVENS
		٢	
6.1		Essential Fish Habitat of Magnuson-Stevens Act	
6.2		FIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT	
6.3		ASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT	
6.4		ECUTIVE ORDER 12898 – ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	
6.5		ECUTIVE ORDER 13175 – TRIBAL CONSULTATION	
6.6		TIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT	
ABBR	EVIAT	ED REFERENCES	136
APPEN		CRITERIA POLLUTANT PTE EMISSION INVENTORY	141

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1:	Permitted Air Pollutant Emissions from Discoverer and Associated Fleet as OCS Source at all Locations	C
Table 1-2:	Application Chronology1	1
Table 2-1:	Potential to Emit for Regulated NSR Pollutants	7
Table 3-1:	Frontier Discoverer Emission Units	4
Table 3-2:	Discoverer Diesel Fuel Tanks	1
Table 3-3:	Maximum Aggregate Rating of Emission Sources for Icebreaker #150	6
Table 3-4:	Maximum Aggregate Rating of Emission Sources for Icebreaker #250	3
Table 3-5:	Supply Ship/Barge and Tug58	3
Table 3-6:	Oil Spill Response Fleet	9
Table 4-1:	NO _X Emission Limits for the Smaller Diesel IC Engines73	3
Table 4-2:	PM Emission Limits for the Smaller Diesel IC Engines	2
Table 4-3:	CO and VOC Emission Limits for the Smaller Diesel IC Engines	С
Table 4-4:	CO and VOC Emission Limits for the Boiler and Incinerator9	1
Table 5-1:	Major Source Baseline Dates90	3
Table 5-2:	Minor Baseline Dates9	7
Table 5-3:	Class II Area Significant Impact Levels and Radius98	3
Table 5-4:	Ambient Air Quality Standards, Air Quality Increments, and Impact Area and Monitoring Thresholds	9
Table 5-5:	Operating Scenarios	1
Table 5-6:	Scaling Factors	3
Table 5-7:	Base Operating Scenario – Location and Stack Parameters 106	3
Table 5-8:	Onshore Facilities	3
Table 5-9:	Short-Term Significant Impact Areas for Two Onshore Sources	С
Table 5-10:	North Slope Stations	2
Table 5-11:	Background Estimates for NAAQS Analysis	3
Table 5-12:	Preconstruction Significant Monitoring Levels	4
Table 5-13:	PSD Increment Modeling Results 115	5
Table 5-14:	NAAQS Modeling Results11	5
Table 5-15:	Operating Scenario #1, Bow Washing Predicted Results 110	3
Table 5-16:	Operating Scenario #2, Anchor Setting and Retrieval Predicted Results	7

Statement of Basis Permit No. R10OCS/PSD-AK-2010-01 Frontier Discoverer Drillship – Beaufort Sea Exploration Drilling Program

Table 5-17:	Operating Scenario #3, Ice Breaker Resupply Results
Table 5-18:	Operating Scenario #3, Anchor Handler Resupply Results
Table 5-19:	Operating Scenario #5, Nanuq Refueling Predicted Results 119
Table 5-20:	Operating Scenario #6, Predicted Results for Alternative Incinerator Options
Table 5-21:	Operating Scenario #7, Predicted Results for Six Alternative Ice Management Vessel Locations, Alternatives 1-3 (Anchor Handler) 120
Table 5-22:	Operating Scenario #7, Predicted Results for Six Alternative Ice Management Vessel Locations, Alternatives 4-6 (Ice Breaker)
Table 5-23:	Operating Scenario #8 Warehouse Predicted Results
Table 5-24:	Operating Scenario #9 Tanker Predicted Results
Table 5-25:	Impacts at Local Communities: Kaktovik 123
Table 5-26:	Impacts at Local Communities: Badami124
Table 5-27:	Impacts at Local Communities: Nuiqsut125

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1:	Beaufort Sea Lease Area Lease Sales 195 and 202 1	2
Figure 1-2:	Photograph of the Frontier Discoverer Drillship1	3
Figure 2-1:	Close Up view of Lease Blocks Addressed in the Beaufort Sea 1	9
Figure 3-1:	Ice Management and Anchor Handling Ships Locations for Breaking of One Year Ice	
Figure 5-1:	Discoverer and Onboard Emission Units 10	0
Figure 5-2:	Modeling Domain and Receptor Points10	5
Figure 5-3:	Beaufort Sea OCS Lease Blocks and Other Onshore Facilities 10	9
Figure 5-4:	North Slope Monitoring Stations11	2

ambient air quality for that pollutant in the area. In addition, 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(m)(2) authorizes EPA to require post-construction ambient air quality monitoring if EPA determines it is necessary to determine the effect that emissions from the source or modification may have on air quality.

40 C.F.R. § 52.21(o) requires an additional impact analyses, which must include an analysis of the impairment to visibility, soils and vegetation that would occur as a result of the proposed source or modification, or that would occur as a result of any commercial, residential, industrial and other growth associated with the source or modification. Analysis for vegetation having no significant commercial or recreational value is not required.

For sources impacting Federal Class I areas, 40 C.F.R.§ 52.21(p) requires EPA to consider any demonstration by the Federal Land Manager that emissions from the proposed source modification would have an adverse impact on air quality related values, including visibility impairment. If EPA concurs with the demonstration, the rules require that EPA shall not issue the PSD permit.

5.2 Class II PSD Increments and NAAQS

5.2.1 PSD Baseline Dates

Figure 2-1 shows the location of the Shell Beaufort Sea lease blocks relative to the northern Alaska coastline. For sources locating on the OCS more than 25 miles from the State's seaward boundary (the Outer OCS), EPA considers the "baseline area" for purposes of 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 to be the area bounded on the shoreward side by a parallel line 25 miles from the State's seaward boundary; on the seaward side by the boundary of U.S. territorial waters; and on the other two sides by the seaward extension of the onshore Air Quality Control Region (AQCR) boundaries (EPA 07/02/09 Baseline Memo). OCS sources within 25 miles from the State's seaward boundary (the Inner OCS) are subject to the COA PSD regulations, including the minor source baseline dates established for the COA, so defining a "baseline area" for the Inner OCS and the Outer OCS are separate baseline areas with different minor source baseline dates.

The major stationary source baseline date, as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(14)(i), and the trigger dates for SO₂, NO₂, and PM₁₀ for this baseline area are shown in Table 5.1.

Air Pollutant	Major Stationary Source	Trigger Date	
Sulfur Dioxide	June 5, 1975	August 7, 1977	
Nitrogen Dioxide	February 8, 1988	February 8, 2008	
Particulate Matter	June 5, 1975	August 7, 1977	

Table 5-1: Major Source Baseline Dates

The minor source baseline date is established in an area when the first complete PSD application is submitted to EPA after the trigger date. See 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(14)(i). EPA deemed the

Shell OCS/PSD application for exploratory drilling in the Chukchi Sea complete on July 31, 2009 (EPA 07/31/09 Completeness Letter), which effectively establishes July 31, 2009 as the minor source baseline date for SO₂, NO₂, and PM₁₀ in the Chukchi Sea/Beaufort Sea Outer OCS baseline area. As a result, Shell is required to consider increment consuming emissions increases and decreases after July 31, 2009 from other sources in the area in its analysis of compliance with air quality increments. Due to the size of the AQCR and the location of the Shell Chukchi Sea drilling area relative to the Beaufort drilling area, emissions from the Chukchi project are not expected to have a significant impact at the Shell Beaufort Sea drilling area. Since the minor source baseline dates of the corresponding shore area apply in the Inner OCS, additional increment-consuming sources are required to be considered for modeled receptor locations in the Inner OCS. The minor source baseline dates have been triggered in this AQCR as shown in Table 5.2 below (Schuler 07/02/09). Shell disagrees with EPA's interpretation of this point, but included existing onshore sources within 100 kilometers of Shell's lease blocks in its PSD increment analysis. (Shell Beaufort Permit Application 01/18/10, Section 3.3.3)

Air Pollutant	Minor Source Baseline Date Beyond 25 Miles from the State Seaward Boundary	Minor Source Baseline Date Onshore and Within 25 Miles from the State Seaward Boundary		
Nitrogen Dioxide	July 31, 2009	February 8, 1988		
Particulate Matter	July 31, 2009	November 13, 1978		
Sulfur Dioxide	July 31, 2009	June 1, 1979		

Table 5-2: Minor Baseline Dates

Shell anticipates constructing a warehouse on shore which would have an oil fired heater in the existing Northern Alaska Intrastate AQCR. The PSD analysis of this source would be based on the onshore minor source baseline dates.

5.2.2 PSD Significant Impact Analysis

The PSD air quality analysis for Shell's exploratory drilling program was conducted in two basic stages. First, Shell conducted a screening analysis to determine the pollutants for which the project exceeded the significant impact levels and for which a more robust air quality demonstration would be required. Second, where the predicted maximum concentration of the specific air pollutant was greater than the applicable significant impact level, a full PSD increment and NAAQS analysis was performed for the pollutant. EPA guidance calls for a more detailed air quality analysis if the emission rate of a pollutant is significant, and if the predicted maximum ambient air concentration of the specific air pollutant is greater than the applicable significant impact level. (See e.g. EPA 10/90 Draft NSR Manual) As shown in Table 5-3, the highest concentration impact from the Discoverer and the Associated Fleet predicted by the screening analysis for the applicable averaging time exceeded the significant impact levels for NO₂ and PM₁₀. As a result, a detailed ambient air quality impact analysis is required for these air

		Location ^a		Stack Parameters			
Emission Units or Sources	Source Type	x (m)	у (m)	Height (m)	Temperature (K)	Velocity (m/sec)	Diamete r (m)
Oil Spill ResponseK	Volume	f	f	3.38	5.4	1.42	
Oil Spill ResponseN	Volume	g	g	17.55	42.3	6.38	
Kilabuk in transit	Volume	j	j	15.24	29.1	6.38	

Reference: Shell Beaufort Permit Application 01/18/10

- a. Origin of coordinate system is the drill hole location below the Discoverer.
- b. Discoverer emission units. Single locations are used to represent similar emission units (i.e., six generator engines, three MLC compressor engines, two HPU engines, two cementing engine units, two heat boilers and two logging winch engines.
- c. Stack height or release height is given as height above the surface or water line.
- d. The receptor grid and coordinate system used to model the onshore warehouse heater is different from that used by the over water emission sources. The origin is at the stack location.
- e. Ice Breaker #1 is located approximately 5000 meters upwind of the drill hole location. Ice Breaker #1 is represented by 96 volume sources.
- f. Ice Breaker #2 is located approximately 1000 meters upwind of the drill hole location. Ice Breaker #2 is represented by 48 volume sources.
- g. Oil Spill ResponseK is located about 2000 meters downwind of the drill hole location. This source represents the work boats.
- h. Oil Spill ResponseK is 172 volumes and Oil Spill ResponseN is 22 volumes.
- i. Oil Spill ResponseN is located about 2000 meters downwind of the drill hole location. This source represents the Nanuq, the Arctic Endeavor Barge and the Point Barrow Tug.
- j. The Kilabuk transit path is split into 80 volumes, about 70 meters from the ship's side.

5.2.15 Full Impact Analysis

A full impact analysis, addressing both the PSD increments and the NAAQS, was performed for NO₂ and PM₁₀. A NAAQS analysis was performed for PM_{2.5}. The Shell project's modeled SO₂ and CO impacts were below significant impact levels; therefore a full impact analysis is not required.

5.2.16 Other Emission Sources

There are a number of facilities onshore within 100 kilometers of Shell's Beaufort Sea lease blocks. Most are further than 50 kilometers from the nearest lease block. The onshore sources which were evaluated for the full impact analysis are listed in Table 5-8, and depicted by the red dots in Figure 5-3. These facilities were modeled in a separate analysis and its results were combined with the results of the Shell offshore project's modeling. See Section 5.2.20.

		Facility Wide Emissions (tpy)			
Company	Facility	NO _x	SO ₂	PM ₁₀	
BP	Badami	277.9	66.6	11.6	
BP	Base Operations Center	1165.0	171.0	37.0	
BP	Central Compression Plant	14238.0	147.0	347.0	
BP	Central Gas Facility	10968.0	125.0	305.0	
BP	Endicott Production Facility ¹	3594.0	539.0	63.0	
BP	Flow Station #1	2872.0	35.0	84.0	
BP	Flow Station #2	3663.0	83.0	91.0	
BP	Flow Station #3	4235.0	42.0	100.0	
BP	Gathering Center #1	4912.0	48.0	107.0	
BP	Gathering Center #2	2370.0	38.0	84.0	
BP	Gathering Center #3	2873.0	33.0	69.0	
BP	Lisburne Production Center	2241.0	263.0	57.0	
BP	Northstar Production Facility	562.0	56.5	331.0	
BP	PBU Central Power Station	6110.0	63.0	150.0	
BP	Prudhoe Bay Operations Center	231.0	51.5	45.8	
BP	Seawater Injection Plant East	2175.0	20.0	42.0	
BP	Seawater Treatment Plant	395.0	28.0	35.0	
BP	Transportable Drilling Rigs	1386.7	145.6	56.7	
Alyeska	TAPS Pump Station 001	773.0	39.0	122.0	
Alaska Interstate	Deadhorse Soil Remediation Unit	107.0	162.8	13.5	
Haliburton	Deadhorse Facility	249.0	1.5	2.3	
TDX	Deadhorse Power Plant	246.0	9.0	17.0	
Total		65644.0	21683.0	2171.0	

Table 5-8: Onshore Facilities

Reference: Shell Beaufort Permit Application 01/18/10 ¹ Endicott Production Facility emissions include the Liberty Expansion



Figure 5-3: Beaufort Sea OCS Lease Blocks and Other Onshore Facilities

5.2.17 Onshore Meteorological Data

For the onshore source modeling, Shell used five years of representative local onshore meteorological data from Badami, Alaska, dated 1991-1995.

5.2.18 Onshore Modeling Receptors

In addition to its original receptor grids, Shell modeled a set of receptors covering a region 50 kilometers from the center of each lease block. These receptors were one kilometer apart. Model results for the onshore sources only included receptors where the lease block grid overlapped with receptors within a 50 kilometer radius of each of the onshore sources. ISC3-PRIME model predictions are generally not used beyond 50 kilometers. Beyond that distance, the model's predictions are likely to be too conservative for regulatory use. Figure 5-3 above shows the 50 kilometers radius around Shell's lease blocks.